Exercise List: Proving convergence of the Stochastic Gradient Descent and Coordinate Descent on the Ridge Regression Problem.

Robert M. Gower & Francis Bach & Nidham Gazagnadou

November 8, 2019

Introduction

Consider the task of learning a rule that maps the *feature vector* $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ to outputs $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore you are given a set of labelled observations (x_i, y_i) for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We restrict ourselves to linear mappings. That is, we need to find $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$x_i^{\top} w \approx y_i, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (1)

That is the hypothesis function is parametrized by w and is given by $h_w : x \mapsto w^{\top} x$.¹ To choose a w such that each $x_i^{\top} w$ is close to y_i , we use the squared loss $\ell(y) = y^2/2$ and the squared regularizor. That is, we minimize

$$w^* = \arg\min_{w} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} (x_i^\top w - y_i)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_2^2,$$
(2)

where $\lambda > 0$ is the regularization parameter. We now have a complete training problem $(2)^2$.

Using the matrix notation

$$X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [x_1, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}, \quad \text{and} \quad y = [y_1, \dots, y_n] \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{3}$$

we can re-write the objective function in (2) as

$$f(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2n} \|X^{\top} w - y\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_2^2.$$
(4)

First we introduce some necessary notation.

¹We need only consider a linear mapping as opposed to the more general affine mapping $x_i \mapsto w^{\top} x_i + \beta$, because the zero order term $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ can be incorporated by defining a new feature vectors $\hat{x}_i = [x_1, 1]$ and new variable $\hat{w} = [w, \beta]$ so that $\hat{x}_i^{\top} \hat{w} = x_i^{\top} w + \beta$

²Excluding the issue of selection λ using something like crossvalidation https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cross-validation_(statistics)

Notation: For every $x, w, \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $\langle x, w \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x^\top y$ and let $||x||_2 = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be a matrix and let $\sigma_{\min}(A)$ and $\sigma_{\max}(A)$ be the smallest and largest singular values of A defined by

$$\sigma_{\min}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\max}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}.$$
 (5)

Finally, a result you will need, if A is a symmetric positive semi-definite matrix the largest singular value of A can be defined instead as

$$\sigma_{\max}(A) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \, x \neq 0} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle_2}{\|x\|_2^2} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}.$$
 (6)

Therefore

$$\frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\|x\|_2^2} \le \sigma_{\max}(A), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$
(7)

and

$$\frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \le \sigma_{\max}(A), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$
(8)

We will now solve the following ridge regression problem

$$w^* = \arg\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2n} \| X^\top w - y \|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \| w \|_2^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(w) \right), \tag{9}$$

using stochastic gradient descent and stochastic coordinate descent.

Exercise 1 : Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

Some more notation: Let $||A||_F^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Tr}(A^{\top}A)$ denote the Frobenius norm of A. Let

$$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n} X X^{\top} + \lambda I \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \quad \text{and} \quad b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n} X y.$$
(10)

We can exploit the separability of the objective function (2) to design a *stochastic* gradient method. For this, first we re-write the problem Aw = b as different linear least squares problem

$$\hat{w}^* = \arg\min_{w} \frac{1}{2} \|Aw - b\|_2^2 \quad = \quad \arg\min_{w} \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{2} (A_{i:w} - b_i)^2 \quad \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \quad \arg\min_{w} \sum_{i=1}^d p_i f_i(w), \quad (11)$$

where $f_i(w) = \frac{1}{2p_i}(A_{i:}w - b_i)^2$, $A_{i:}$ denotes the *i*th row of A, b_i denotes the *i*th element of b and $p_i = \frac{\|A_{i:}\|_2^2}{\|A\|_F^2}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^d p_i = 1$ thus the p_i 's are probabilities.

From a given $w^0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, consider the iterates

$$w^{t+1} = w^t - \alpha \nabla f_j(w^t), \tag{12}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2},\tag{13}$$

and j is a random index chosen from $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ sampled with probability p_j . In other words, $\mathbb{P}(j=i) = p_i = \frac{\|A_{i:}\|_2^2}{\|A\|_F^2}$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$.

Ex. 1 — Show that the solution \hat{w}^* to (11) and the solution to w^* to (9) are equal.

Ex. 2 — Show that

$$\nabla f_j(w) = \frac{1}{p_j} A_{j:}^\top A_{j:}(w - w^*)$$
(14)

and that

$$\mathbb{E}_{j \sim p} \left[\nabla f_j(w) \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^d p_i \nabla f_i(w) = A^\top A(w - w^*) ,$$

thus $\nabla f_j(w)$ is an unbiased estimator of the full gradient of the objective function in (11). This justifies applying the stochastic gradient method.

Ex. 3 — Let
$$\Pi_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{A_{j:}^\top A_{j:}}{\|A_{j:}\|_2^2}$$
, show that $\Pi_j \Pi_j = \Pi_j$, (15)

and

$$(I - \Pi_j)(I - \Pi_j) = I - \Pi_j.$$
 (16)

In other words, Π_j is a projection operator which projects orthogonally onto **Range** $(A_{j:})$. Furthermore, if $j \sim p_j$ verify that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Pi_{j}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_{i} \Pi_{i} = \frac{A^{\top} A}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}}.$$
(17)

 $\mathbf{Ex.} \mathbf{4}$ — Show the following equality ruling the squared norm of the distance to the solution

$$\|w^{t+1} - w^*\|_2^2 = \|w^t - w^*\|_2^2 - \left\langle \frac{A_{j:}^\top A_{j:}}{\|A_{j:}\|_2^2} (w^t - w^*), w^t - w^* \right\rangle .$$
(18)

Ex. 5 — Using previous answer and analogous techniques from the course, show that the iterates (12) converge according to

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|w^{t+1} - w^*\|_2^2\right] \leq \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{\min}(A)^2}{\|A\|_F^2}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\|w^t - w^*\|_2^2\right] .$$
(19)

BONUS

Exercise 2: Stochastic Coordinate Descent (CD)

Consider the minimization problem

$$w^* = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(f(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} w^\top A w - w^\top b \right), \tag{20}$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is a symmetric positive definite matrix, and $w, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Ex. 6 — First show that, using the notation (10), solving (20) is equivalent to solving (9).

Ex. 7 — Show that

$$\frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial w_i} = A_{i:}w - b_i \quad , \tag{21}$$

where $A_{i:}$ is the *i*th row of A. Furthermore note that $w^* = A^{-1}b$, thus

$$\frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial w_i} = e_i^\top (Aw - b) = e_i^\top A(w - w^*) \quad . \tag{22}$$

Ex. 8 — Question 2.3: Consider a step of the stochastic coordinate descent method

$$w^{k+1} = w^k - \alpha_i \frac{\partial f(w^k)}{\partial x_i} e_i, \tag{23}$$

where $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the *i*th unit coordinate vector, $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{A_{ii}}$, and $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ is sampled i.i.d at each step according to $i \sim p_i$ where $p_i = \frac{A_{ii}}{\text{Tr}(A)}$. Let $||x||_A^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x^\top A x$. First, prove that

$$\|w^{k+1} - w^*\|_A^2 = \left\langle (I - \Pi_i^\top) A (I - \Pi_i) (w^k - w^*), w^k - w^* \right\rangle .$$
⁽²⁴⁾

Ex. 9 — **Question 2.4:** Let $r^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A^{1/2}(w^k - w^*)$. Deduce from (24) that

$$\|r^{k+1}\|_{2}^{2} = \|r^{k}\|_{2}^{2} - \left\langle \frac{A^{1/2}e_{i}e_{i}^{\top}A^{1/2}}{A_{ii}}r^{k}, r^{k} \right\rangle .$$
⁽²⁶⁾

Ex. 10 — Finally, prove the convergence of the iterates of CD (23) converge according to

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|w^{k+1} - w^*\|_A^2\right] \leq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{\min}(A)}{\operatorname{Tr}(A)}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\|w^k - w^*\|_A^2\right]$$
(28)

thus (23) converges to the solution.

Hint: Since A is symmetric positive definite you can use that

$$\lambda_{\min}(A) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top A x}{\|x\|_2^2}.$$

You will need to use that $x^{\top}Ax \ge \lambda_{\min}(A) ||x||_2^2$ at some point.

Ex. 11 — **Question 2.6:** When is this stochastic coordinate descent method *faster* than the stochastic gradient method (14) or gradient descent? Note that each iteration of SGD and CD costs O(d) floating point operations while an iteration of the GD method costs $O(d^2)$ floating point operations (assuming that A has been previously calculated and stored). What happens if d is very big? What if Tr (A) is very large? Discuss this.

References

- R. M. Gower and P. Richtárik. "Stochastic Dual Ascent for Solving Linear Systems". In: arXiv:1512.06890 (2015).
- [2] T. Strohmer and R. Vershynin. "A Randomized Kaczmarz Algorithm with Exponential Convergence". In: Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications 15.2 (2009), pp. 262– 278.