# MDI210 : Linear Programming 

Robert M. Gower

\section*{TELECOM ParisTech <br> |  |  |
| :---: | :---: |}

## Linear Programming History (1939)



- 1947: George Dantzig, advising U.S. Air Force, invents Simplex.
- Assignment 70 people to 70 jobs (more possibilities than particles).


## Linear Programming History (1941)



## Army Builds Killing Machine (1949)



1949 SCOOP: Scientific Computation Of Optimal Programs

Mathematical Programming: Math used to figured out Flight and logistic programs/schedules

## Dantzig the Urban Legend



Dantzig, George B. "On the Non-Existence of Tests of 'Student's' Hypothesis Having Power Functions Independent of Signa." Annals of Mathematical Statistics, No. 11; 1940 (pp. 186-192).

Dantzig, George B. and Abraham Wald. "On the Fundamental Lemma of Neyman and Pearson." Annals of Mathematical Statistics. No. 22; 1951 (pp, 87-93).
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## The Problem: Linear Programming

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\max _{x} z \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} c^{\top} x \\
\text { subject to } A x \leq b, \\
x \geq 0,
\end{array}
$$

where $c, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. Equivalently

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{x} z \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j} \\
& \text { subject to } \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j} \leq b_{i}, \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, m . \\
& x \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

## First example Simplex

The problem

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\max \quad & 4 x_{1}+2 x_{2} & \\
& 3 x_{1}+2 x_{2} & \leq 600 \\
& 4 x_{1}+1 x_{2} & \leq 400 \\
& x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

We can solve this graphically:


With level sets $\Rightarrow$


How to do this systematically?

## First example Simplex

The problem

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\max \quad & 4 x_{1}+2 x_{2} & \\
& 3 x_{1}+2 x_{2} & \leq 600 \\
& 4 x_{1}+1 x_{2} & \leq 400 \\
& x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

Can be transformed into

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\max 4 x_{1}+2 x_{2} \\
x_{3}=600-3 x_{1}-2 x_{2} \\
x_{4}=400-4 x_{1}-x_{2}
\end{array}
$$

where $x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ are slack variables. This is known as the the dictionary format and is often written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{3} & =600-3 x_{1}-2 x_{2} \\
x_{4} & =400-4 x_{1}-2 x_{2} \\
\hline z & =4 x_{1}+2 x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## First example Simplex

The dictionary format

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{3} & =600-3 x_{1}-2 x_{2} \\
x_{4} & =400-4 x_{1}- \\
\hline z & =4 x_{1}+2 x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

admits obvious solution

$$
\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, x_{3}^{*}, x_{4}^{*}\right)=(0,0,600,400)
$$

The objective $z$ will improve if $x_{1}>0$. Increasing $x_{1}$ as much as possible

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{3} \geq 0 \Rightarrow 600-3 x_{1} \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{1} \leq 200 \\
& x_{4} \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 400-4 x_{1} \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{1} \leq 100
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $x_{1} \leq 100$ to guarantee $x_{4} \geq 0$. This means $x_{4}$ will leave the basis and $x_{1}$ will enter the basis. Using row operations $z \leftarrow z+r_{2}$ and $r_{1} \leftarrow r_{1}-\frac{3}{4} r_{2}$ to isolate $x_{1}$ on row $_{2}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{3}=300+\frac{3}{4} x_{4}-\frac{5}{4} x_{2} \\
x_{1}=100-\frac{x_{4}}{4}-\frac{x_{2}}{4} \\
\hline z=400-x_{4}+\frac{x_{2}}{}
\end{gathered}
$$

## First example Simplex

From

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
x_{3} & =300 & + & \frac{3}{4} x_{4} & - & \frac{5}{4} x_{2} \\
x_{1} & =100 & - & \frac{x_{4}}{4} & - & \frac{x_{2}}{4} \\
\hline z & =400 & - & x_{4} & + & x_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Now we are at the vertex $\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}\right)=(100,0)$. Next we see that increasing $x_{2}$ increases the objective value but

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{3} \geq 0 \Rightarrow 300-\frac{5}{4} x_{2} \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 240 \geq x_{2} \\
& x_{1} \geq 0 \Rightarrow 100-\frac{x_{2}}{4} \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 400 \geq x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Increase $x_{2}$ upto 240 while respecting the positivity constraints of $x_{3}$.
Thus $x_{3}$ will leave the basis and $x_{2}$ will enter the basis. Performing a row elimination again via $z \leftarrow z+\frac{4}{5} r_{1}$ and $r_{2} \leftarrow r_{2}-\frac{1}{5} r_{1}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{2} & =240+\frac{3}{5} x_{4}-\frac{4}{5} x_{3} \\
x_{1} & =40-\frac{2}{5} x_{4}-\frac{1}{5} x_{3} \\
\hline z & =640-\frac{2}{5} x_{4}-\frac{4}{5} x_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}\right)=(40,240)$. Increasing $x_{4}$ or $x_{3}$ will decrease $z$. THE END

Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Linear Programming)
Let $P=\{x \mid A x=b, x \geq 0\}$ then either
(1) $P=\{\emptyset\}$
(2) $P \neq\{\emptyset\}$ and there exists a vertex $v$ of $P$ such that $v \in \arg \min _{x \in P} C^{\top} x$
(3) There exists $x, d \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $x+t d \in P$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} c^{\top}(x+t d)=\infty$.


## Problem Notation

We will now formalize the definitions we introduced in the examples.

- There are $n$ variables and $m$ constraints
- The linear objective function $z=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j}$
- The $m$ inequality constraints in standard form

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j} \leq b_{i}, \text { for } i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}
$$

- The $n$ positivity constraints $x_{j} \geq 0$, for $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
- $x_{i}^{*}$ denotes the value of $i$ th variable.
- We call $\left(x_{1}^{*}, \ldots, x_{n}^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ a feasible solution if it satisfies the inequality and positivity constraints.


## Dictionary Notation

- The slack variables $\left(x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ (variables d'écart)
- The initial dictionary

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n+1}=b_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1 j} x_{j} \\
& \vdots \\
& x_{n+i}=b_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j} \\
& \vdots \\
& x_{n+m}=b_{m}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{m j} x_{j} \\
& \hline z=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Valid dictionary if $m$ of the variables $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+m}\right)$ can be expressed as function of the remaining $n$ variables.
- The $m$ variables on the left-hand side are the basic variable (variable de base). The $n$ variables on the right-hand side are the non-basic (variable hors-base).


## Dictionary Notation

After row elimination operations we have a new basis.

- Basic variable set $I \subset\{1, \ldots, n+m\}$ and non-basic set $J=\{1, \ldots, n+m\} \backslash /$ with $|I|=m$ and $|J|=n$
- Current objective value $z^{*}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j}^{*}$.
- For each basis set $I$ there is a corresponding dictionary

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}=b_{i}^{\prime}-\sum_{j \in J} a_{i j}^{\prime} x_{j}, \text { for } i \in I \\
& \hline z=z^{*}+\sum_{j \in J} c_{j}^{\prime} x_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{i j}^{\prime}, b_{i}^{\prime}, z^{*} \in \mathbb{R}$ are coefficients resulting from the row operations. For this to a feasible dictionary we require that $b_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0$.

- A basic solution: $x_{i}^{*}=b_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i \in I$ and $x_{j}^{*}=0$ for $j \in J$.


## Variable entering/leaving the basis

- If $j_{0} \in J$ with $c_{j_{0}}^{\prime}>0$ then increasing $x_{j_{0}}$ will improve the objective since

$$
z=z^{*}+\sum_{j \in J} c_{j}^{\prime} x_{j}
$$

## Variable entering/leaving the basis

- If $j_{0} \in J$ with $c_{j_{0}}^{\prime}>0$ then increasing $x_{j_{0}}$ will improve the objective since

$$
z=z^{*}+\sum_{j \in J} c_{j}^{\prime} x_{j}
$$

- How much can we increase $x_{j_{0}}$ ? Until there is a $x_{i}=0$ since

$$
x_{i}^{*}=b_{i}^{\prime}-a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime} x_{j 0}^{*} \geq 0
$$

## Variable entering/leaving the basis

- If $j_{0} \in J$ with $c_{j_{0}}^{\prime}>0$ then increasing $x_{j_{0}}$ will improve the objective since

$$
z=z^{*}+\sum_{j \in J} c_{j}^{\prime} x_{j}
$$

- How much can we increase $x_{j_{0}}$ ? Until there is a $x_{i}=0$ since

$$
x_{i}^{*}=b_{i}^{\prime}-a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime} x_{j 0}^{*} \geq 0 \Rightarrow a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime} x_{j_{0}}^{*} \leq b_{i}^{\prime}, \quad \forall i \in I
$$

## Variable entering/leaving the basis

- If $j_{0} \in J$ with $c_{j_{0}}^{\prime}>0$ then increasing $x_{j_{0}}$ will improve the objective since

$$
z=z^{*}+\sum_{j \in J} c_{j}^{\prime} x_{j}
$$

- How much can we increase $x_{j_{0}}$ ? Until there is a $x_{i}=0$ since

$$
x_{i}^{*}=b_{i}^{\prime}-a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime} x_{j 0}^{*} \geq 0 \Rightarrow a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime} x_{j_{0}}^{*} \leq b_{i}^{\prime}, \quad \forall i \in I
$$

- If $a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime} \leq 0$, then increasing $x_{j 0}^{*}$ will increase $x_{i}^{*}$


## Variable entering/leaving the basis

- If $j_{0} \in J$ with $c_{j_{0}}^{\prime}>0$ then increasing $x_{j_{0}}$ will improve the objective since

$$
z=z^{*}+\sum_{j \in J} c_{j}^{\prime} x_{j}
$$

- How much can we increase $x_{j_{0}}$ ? Until there is a $x_{i}=0$ since

$$
x_{i}^{*}=b_{i}^{\prime}-a_{i j 0}^{\prime} x_{j 0}^{*} \geq 0 \Rightarrow a_{i j 0}^{\prime} x_{j 0}^{*} \leq b_{i}^{\prime}, \quad \forall i \in I
$$

- If $a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime} \leq 0$, then increasing $x_{j 0}^{*}$ will increase $x_{i}^{*}$
- If $a_{i j}^{\prime}>0$, then $x_{j 0}^{*} \leq b_{i}^{\prime} / a_{i j 0}^{\prime}$


## Variable entering/leaving the basis

- If $j_{0} \in J$ with $c_{j_{0}}^{\prime}>0$ then increasing $x_{j_{0}}$ will improve the objective since

$$
z=z^{*}+\sum_{j \in J} c_{j}^{\prime} x_{j}
$$

- How much can we increase $x_{j_{0}}$ ? Until there is a $x_{i}=0$ since

$$
x_{i}^{*}=b_{i}^{\prime}-a_{i j 0}^{\prime} x_{j 0}^{*} \geq 0 \Rightarrow a_{i j 0}^{\prime} x_{j 0}^{*} \leq b_{i}^{\prime}, \quad \forall i \in I
$$

- If $a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime} \leq 0$, then increasing $x_{j 0}^{*}$ will increase $x_{i}^{*}$
- If $a_{i j}^{\prime}>0$, then $x_{j 0}^{*} \leq b_{i}^{\prime} / a_{i j 0}^{\prime}$
- Thus

$$
x_{j_{0}}^{*}=\min _{i \in I, a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}>0} \frac{b_{i}^{\prime}}{a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}}
$$

## Variable entering/leaving the basis

- If $j_{0} \in J$ with $c_{j_{0}}^{\prime}>0$ then increasing $x_{j_{0}}$ will improve the objective since

$$
z=z^{*}+\sum_{j \in J} c_{j}^{\prime} x_{j}
$$

- How much can we increase $x_{j_{0}}$ ? Until there is a $x_{i}=0$ since

$$
x_{i}^{*}=b_{i}^{\prime}-a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime} x_{j 0}^{*} \geq 0 \Rightarrow a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime} x_{j_{0}}^{*} \leq b_{i}^{\prime}, \quad \forall i \in I
$$

- If $a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime} \leq 0$, then increasing $x_{j 0}^{*}$ will increase $x_{i}^{*}$
- If $a_{i j}^{\prime}>0$, then $x_{j 0}^{*} \leq b_{i}^{\prime} / a_{i j 0}^{\prime}$
- Thus

$$
x_{j_{0}}^{*}=\min _{i \in I, a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}>0} \frac{b_{i}^{\prime}}{a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}}
$$

- In this case, which $x_{i}^{*}=0$ (which $i$ leaves the basis?)

A Step of the Simplex Method
Input: $I=\{n+1, \ldots, n+m\}, J=\{1, \ldots, n\}, a_{i j}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}, b_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0, c_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$.

A Step of the Simplex Method
Input: $I=\{n+1, \ldots, n+m\}, J=\{1, \ldots, n\}, a_{i j}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}, b_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0, c_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$.
if $c_{i}^{\prime} \leq 0$ for all $i \in J$ then
STOP; \# Optimal point found.

## A Step of the Simplex Method

Input: $I=\{n+1, \ldots, n+m\}, J=\{1, \ldots, n\}, a_{i j}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}, b_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0, c_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$.
if $c_{i}^{\prime} \leq 0$ for all $i \in J$ then
STOP; \# Optimal point found.
Choose a variable $j_{0}$ to enter the basis from the set $j_{0} \in\left\{j \in J: c_{j}^{\prime}>0\right\}$. if $a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime} \leq 0$ for all $i \in J$ then

STOP; \# The problem is unbounded.

## A Step of the Simplex Method

Input: $I=\{n+1, \ldots, n+m\}, J=\{1, \ldots, n\}, a_{i j}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}, b_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0, c_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$.
if $c_{i}^{\prime} \leq 0$ for all $i \in J$ then
STOP; \# Optimal point found.
Choose a variable $j_{0}$ to enter the basis from the set $j_{0} \in\left\{j \in J: c_{j}^{\prime}>0\right\}$. if $a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime} \leq 0$ for all $i \in J$ then

STOP; \# The problem is unbounded.
Choose a variable $i_{0}$ to leave the basis from the set $i_{0} \in \arg \min _{i \in I, a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}>0}\left\{\frac{b_{i}^{\prime}}{a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}}\right\}$.

## A Step of the Simplex Method

Input: $I=\{n+1, \ldots, n+m\}, J=\{1, \ldots, n\}, a_{i j}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}, b_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0, c_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$.
if $c_{i}^{\prime} \leq 0$ for all $i \in J$ then
STOP; \# Optimal point found.
Choose a variable $j_{0}$ to enter the basis from the set $j_{0} \in\left\{j \in J: c_{j}^{\prime}>0\right\}$. if $a_{i j 0}^{\prime} \leq 0$ for all $i \in J$ then

STOP; \# The problem is unbounded.
Choose a variable $i_{0}$ to leave the basis from the set $i_{0} \in \arg \min _{i \in I, a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}>0}\left\{\frac{b_{i}^{\prime}}{a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}}\right\}$. $I \leftarrow\left(I \backslash\left\{i_{0}\right\}\right) \quad$ and $\quad J \leftarrow J \cup\left\{i_{0}\right\} \quad \triangleright$ Move $i_{0}$ from basic to non-basic for $i \in I$ do

$$
a_{i:}^{\prime} \leftarrow a_{i:}^{\prime}-\frac{a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}}{a_{i 0 j 0}^{\prime}} a_{i 0}^{\prime}:
$$

$\triangleright$ Row elimination on pivot $\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right)$.

## A Step of the Simplex Method

Input: $I=\{n+1, \ldots, n+m\}, J=\{1, \ldots, n\}, a_{i j}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}, b_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0, c_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$.
if $c_{i}^{\prime} \leq 0$ for all $i \in J$ then
STOP; \# Optimal point found.
Choose a variable $j_{0}$ to enter the basis from the set $j_{0} \in\left\{j \in J: c_{j}^{\prime}>0\right\}$. if $a_{i j 0}^{\prime} \leq 0$ for all $i \in J$ then

STOP; \# The problem is unbounded.
Choose a variable $i_{0}$ to leave the basis from the set $i_{0} \in \arg \min _{i \in I, a_{i j_{0}}>0}\left\{\frac{b_{i}^{\prime}}{a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}}\right\}$. $I \leftarrow\left(I \backslash\left\{i_{0}\right\}\right) \quad$ and $\quad J \leftarrow J \cup\left\{i_{0}\right\} \quad \triangleright$ Move $i_{0}$ from basic to non-basic for $i \in I$ do
$a_{i:}^{\prime} \leftarrow a_{i:}^{\prime}-\frac{a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}}{a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}} a_{i_{0}}^{\prime}:$
$\triangleright$ Row elimination on pivot $\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right)$.
$a_{i_{0}}^{\prime}: \leftarrow \frac{1}{a_{i_{0} j_{0}}^{\prime}} a_{i_{0}}^{\prime}: \quad$ and $\quad a_{i_{0} j_{0}}^{\prime} \leftarrow \frac{1}{a_{i_{0} j_{0}}^{\prime}} \quad \triangleright$ Normalize the coefficient of $a_{i_{0} j_{0}}^{\prime}$

## A Step of the Simplex Method

Input: $I=\{n+1, \ldots, n+m\}, J=\{1, \ldots, n\}, a_{i j}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}, b_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0, c_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$.
if $c_{i}^{\prime} \leq 0$ for all $i \in J$ then
STOP; \# Optimal point found.
Choose a variable $j_{0}$ to enter the basis from the set $j_{0} \in\left\{j \in J: c_{j}^{\prime}>0\right\}$. if $a_{i j 0}^{\prime} \leq 0$ for all $i \in J$ then

STOP; \# The problem is unbounded.
Choose a variable $i_{0}$ to leave the basis from the set $i_{0} \in \arg \min _{i \in I, a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}>0}\left\{\frac{b_{i}^{\prime}}{a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}}\right\}$. $I \leftarrow\left(I \backslash\left\{i_{0}\right\}\right) \quad$ and $\quad J \leftarrow J \cup\left\{i_{0}\right\} \quad \triangleright$ Move $i_{0}$ from basic to non-basic for $i \in I$ do

$$
a_{i:}^{\prime} \leftarrow a_{i:}^{\prime}-\frac{a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}}{a_{i 0 j 0}^{\prime}} a_{i 0}^{\prime}:
$$

$\triangleright$ Row elimination on pivot $\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right)$.
$a_{i_{0}}^{\prime}: \leftarrow \frac{1}{a_{i_{0} j_{0}}^{\prime}} a_{i_{0}}^{\prime}: \quad$ and $\quad a_{i_{0 j 0}}^{\prime} \leftarrow \frac{1}{a_{i_{0} j_{0}}^{\prime}} \quad \triangleright$ Normalize the coefficient of $a_{i_{0 j} j_{0}}^{\prime}$
$c^{\prime} \leftarrow c^{\prime}-\frac{c_{j_{0}}^{\prime}}{a_{i_{0} j_{0}}^{\prime}} a_{i_{0}}^{\prime}:$
$\triangleright$ Update the cost coefficients.

## A Step of the Simplex Method

Input: $I=\{n+1, \ldots, n+m\}, J=\{1, \ldots, n\}, a_{i j}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}, b_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0, c_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$.
if $c_{i}^{\prime} \leq 0$ for all $i \in J$ then
STOP; \# Optimal point found.
Choose a variable $j_{0}$ to enter the basis from the set $j_{0} \in\left\{j \in J: c_{j}^{\prime}>0\right\}$. if $a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime} \leq 0$ for all $i \in J$ then

STOP; \# The problem is unbounded.
Choose a variable $i_{0}$ to leave the basis from the set $i_{0} \in \arg \min _{i \in l, a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}>0}\left\{\frac{b_{i}^{\prime}}{a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}}\right\}$. $I \leftarrow\left(I \backslash\left\{i_{0}\right\}\right) \quad$ and $\quad J \leftarrow J \cup\left\{i_{0}\right\} \quad \triangleright$ Move $i_{0}$ from basic to non-basic for $i \in I$ do

$$
a_{i:}^{\prime} \leftarrow a_{i:}^{\prime}-\frac{a_{i j_{0}}^{\prime}}{a_{i_{0} j_{0}}^{\prime}} a_{i_{0}:}^{\prime} \quad \triangleright \text { Row elimination on pivot }\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right)
$$

$a_{i_{0}}^{\prime}: \leftarrow \frac{1}{a_{i_{0} j_{0}}^{\prime}} a_{i_{0}}^{\prime}: \quad$ and $\quad a_{i_{0 j 0}}^{\prime} \leftarrow \frac{1}{a_{i_{0 j 0}}^{\prime}} \quad \triangleright$ Normalize the coefficient of $a_{i_{0 j}}^{\prime}$
$c^{\prime} \leftarrow c^{\prime}-\frac{c_{j_{0}}^{\prime}}{a_{i_{0} j_{0}}^{\prime}} a_{i_{0}}^{\prime}$ :
$\triangleright$ Update the cost coefficients.
$I \leftarrow I \cup\left\{j_{0}\right\} \quad$ and $\quad J \leftarrow\left(J \backslash\left\{j_{0}\right\}\right) \quad \triangleright$ Move $j_{0}$ from non-basic to basic

## How to choose who enters the basis?

$$
j_{0} \in\left\{j \in J: c_{j}^{\prime}>0\right\}
$$

(1) The mad hatter rule: Choose the first one you see costs: $\mathrm{O}(1)$
(2) Dantzig's 1st rule: $j_{0}=\arg \max _{j \in J} c_{j} \quad$ cost: $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$
(3) Dantzig's 2 nd rule: Choose $j_{0}$ that maximizes the increase in $z$.

$$
j_{0}=\arg \max _{j \in J}\left\{c_{j} \min _{i \in l, a_{i j}>0}\left\{\frac{b_{i}}{a_{i j}}\right\}\right\} \quad \text { costs : } O(n m)
$$

Effective, but computationally expensive.
(9) Bland's rule: Choose the smallest indices $j_{0}$ and $i_{0}$. That is, choose

$$
\begin{aligned}
j_{0} & =\arg \min \left\{j \in J: c_{j}>0\right\} \text { costs : O(n) } \\
i_{0} & =\min \left\{\arg \min _{i \in 1, a_{i_{0}}>0}\left\{\frac{b_{i}}{a_{i j_{0}}}\right\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Degeneracy

Consider the problem

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\max 2 x_{1}-x_{2}+8 x_{3} & \\
2 x_{3} & \leq 1 \\
2 x_{1}-4 x_{2}+6 x_{3} & \leq 3 \\
-x_{1}+3 x_{2}+4 x_{3} & \leq 2 \\
x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

Adding slack variables we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{4} & =1+0+0-2 x_{3} \\
x_{5} & =3-2 x_{1}+4 x_{2}-6 x_{3} \\
x_{6} & =2+x_{1}-3 x_{2}-4 x_{3} \\
z & =0+2 x_{1}-x_{2}+8 x_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Degeneracy

If any of the basic variables are zero, then we say that the solution is degenerate.
Consider the initial dictionary:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{4} & =1+0+0-2 x_{3} \\
x_{5} & =3-2 x_{1}+4 x_{2}-6 x_{3} \\
x_{6} & =2+x_{1}-3 x_{2}-4 x_{3} \\
z & =0+2 x_{1}-x_{2}+8 x_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $x_{3}$ enters then who leaves?

## Degeneracy

If any of the basic variables are zero, then we say that the solution is degenerate.
Consider the initial dictionary:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{4} & =1+0+0-2 x_{3} \\
x_{5} & =3-2 x_{1}+4 x_{2}-6 x_{3} \\
x_{6} & =2+x_{1}-3 x_{2}-4 x_{3} \\
z & =0+2 x_{1}-x_{2}+8 x_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $x_{3}$ enters then who leaves? Both $x_{5}$ and $x_{6}$ are set to zero, so either one. Choosing $x_{4}$ and pivoting on row 1 and column 4 we have.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
x_{3}=0.5+0+0-0.5 x_{4} \\
x_{5}= & 0-2 x_{1}+4 x_{2}+3 x_{4} \\
x_{6}= & 0+x_{1}-3 x_{2}+2 x_{4} \\
\hline z= & 4+2 x_{1}-x_{2}-4 x_{4}
\end{array}
$$

Only $x_{1}$ can enter the basis, but it doesn't increase in value :( Full example in lecture notes.

## Bland's rule for degeneracy

## Bland's rule

Choose the smallest indices $j_{0}$ and $i_{0}$. That is, choose

$$
\begin{gathered}
j_{0}=\arg \min \left\{j \in J: c_{j}>0\right\} \\
i_{0}=\min \left\{\arg \min _{i \in I, a_{i_{0}}>0}\left\{\frac{b_{i}}{a_{i j_{0}}}\right\}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Definition

A dictionary is degenerate if there are basic variables equal to zero.

## Theorem

If Bland's rule is used on all degenerate dictionaries, then the simplex algorithm will not cycle.

## Finding an initial feasible dictionary

| $\max$ | $x_{1}$ | $-x_{2}$ | $+x_{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2 x_{1}$ | $-x_{2}$ | $+2 x_{3}$ | $\leq 4$ |
|  | $2 x_{1}$ | $-3 x_{2}$ | $+x_{3}$ | $\leq-5$ |
|  | $-x_{1}$ | $+x_{2}$ | $-2 x_{3}$ | $\leq-1$ |
|  | $x_{1}$, | $x_{2}$, | $x_{3}$, | $\geq 0$. |

The point $\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, x_{3}^{*}\right)=(0,0,0)$ is not feasible.

## Finding an initial feasible dictionary

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\max & x_{1} & -x_{2} & +x_{3} & \\
& 2 x_{1} & -x_{2} & +2 x_{3} & \leq 4 \\
& 2 x_{1} & -3 x_{2} & +x_{3} & \leq-5 \\
& -x_{1} & +x_{2} & -2 x_{3} & \leq-1 \\
& x_{1}, & x_{2}, & x_{3}, & \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

The point $\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, x_{3}^{*}\right)=(0,0,0)$ is not feasible.
Setup an auxiliary problem

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\max & -x_{0} & & & \\
2 x_{1} & -x_{2} & +2 x_{3} & -x_{0} \leq 4 \\
2 x_{1} & -3 x_{2} & +x_{3} & -x_{0} \leq-5 \\
-x_{1} & +x_{2} & -2 x_{3} & -x_{0} \leq-1 \\
& x_{1}, & x_{2}, & x_{3}, & x_{0} \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

For $x_{0}$ big enough, it will be feasible. Setup initial dictionary

Initial phase one dictionary:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{4}=4 & -2 x_{1} & +x_{2} & -2 x_{3} & +x_{0} \\
x_{5}=-5 & -2 x_{1} & +3 x_{2} & -x_{3} & +x_{0} \\
x_{6}=-1 & +x_{1} & -x_{2} & +2 x_{3} & +x_{0} \\
w= & & & & -x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

Pivot on "most infeasible" variable in the basis with the most negative value. Thus $x_{5}$ leaves the basis and $x_{0}$ enters the basis. Pivoting on row 2 and column 5:

Initial phase one dictionary:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{4}=4 & -2 x_{1} & +x_{2} & -2 x_{3} & +x_{0} \\
x_{5}=-5 & -2 x_{1} & +3 x_{2} & -x_{3} & +x_{0} \\
x_{6}=-1 & +x_{1} & -x_{2} & +2 x_{3} & +x_{0} \\
w= & & & & -x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

Pivot on "most infeasible" variable in the basis with the most negative value. Thus $x_{5}$ leaves the basis and $x_{0}$ enters the basis.
Pivoting on row 2 and column 5:
$r_{1} \leftarrow r_{1}-r_{2}$.
$r_{3} \leftarrow r_{3}-r_{2}$.
$w \leftarrow w+r_{2}$.

Initial phase one dictionary:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{4}=4 & -2 x_{1} & +x_{2} & -2 x_{3} & +x_{0} \\
x_{5}=-5 & -2 x_{1} & +3 x_{2} & -x_{3} & +x_{0} \\
x_{6}=-1 & +x_{1} & -x_{2} & +2 x_{3} & +x_{0} \\
w= & & & & -x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

Pivot on "most infeasible" variable in the basis with the most negative value. Thus $x_{5}$ leaves the basis and $x_{0}$ enters the basis. Pivoting on row 2 and column 5:
$r_{1} \leftarrow r_{1}-r_{2}$.
$r_{3} \leftarrow r_{3}-r_{2}$.
$w \leftarrow w+r_{2}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{4}=9 & +0 & -2 x_{2} & -x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
x_{0}=5 & 2 x_{1} & -3 x_{2} & +x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
x_{6}=4 & +3 x_{1} & -4 x_{2} & +3 x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
w=-5 & -2 x_{1} & +3 x_{2} & -x_{3} & -x_{5}
\end{array}
$$

Now $x_{2}$ enters and who leaves?

Initial phase one dictionary:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{4}=4 & -2 x_{1} & +x_{2} & -2 x_{3} & +x_{0} \\
x_{5}=-5 & -2 x_{1} & +3 x_{2} & -x_{3} & +x_{0} \\
x_{6}=-1 & +x_{1} & -x_{2} & +2 x_{3} & +x_{0} \\
w= & & & & -x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

Pivot on "most infeasible" variable in the basis with the most negative value. Thus $x_{5}$ leaves the basis and $x_{0}$ enters the basis.
Pivoting on row 2 and column 5:
$r_{1} \leftarrow r_{1}-r_{2}$.
$r_{3} \leftarrow r_{3}-r_{2}$.
$w \leftarrow w+r_{2}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{4}=9 & +0 & -2 x_{2} & -x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
x_{0}=5 & 2 x_{1} & -3 x_{2} & +x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
x_{6}=4 & +3 x_{1} & -4 x_{2} & +3 x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
w=-5 & -2 x_{1} & +3 x_{2} & -x_{3} & -x_{5} .
\end{array}
$$

Now $x_{2}$ enters and who leaves? $x_{6}$ leaves the basis

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{4}=9 & +0 & -2 x_{2} & -x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
x_{0}=5 & 2 x_{1} & -3 x_{2} & +x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
x_{6}=4 & +3 x_{1} & -4 x_{2} & +3 x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
w=-5 & -2 x_{1} & +3 x_{2} & -x_{3} & -x_{5}
\end{array}
$$

Now $x_{2}$ enters and who leaves? $x_{6}$ leaves the basis. After pivoting

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{4}=9 & +0 & -2 x_{2} & -x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
x_{0}=5 & 2 x_{1} & -3 x_{2} & +x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
x_{6}=4 & +3 x_{1} & -4 x_{2} & +3 x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
w=-5 & -2 x_{1} & +3 x_{2} & -x_{3} & -x_{5}
\end{array}
$$

Now $x_{2}$ enters and who leaves? $x_{6}$ leaves the basis. After pivoting

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{2}=1 & +0.75 x_{1} & +0.75 x_{3} & +0.25 x_{5} & -0.25 x_{6} \\
x_{0}=2 & -0.25 x_{1} & -1.25 x_{3} & +0.25 x_{5} & +0.75 x_{6} \\
x_{4}=7 & -1.5 x_{1} & -2.5 x_{3} & +0.5 x_{5} & +0.5 x_{6} \\
w=-2 & +0.25 x_{1} & +1.25 x_{3} & -0.25 x_{5} & -0.75 x_{6}
\end{array}
$$

Who enters the basis now?

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{4}=9 & +0 & -2 x_{2} & -x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
x_{0}=5 & 2 x_{1} & -3 x_{2} & +x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
x_{6}=4 & +3 x_{1} & -4 x_{2} & +3 x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
w=-5 & -2 x_{1} & +3 x_{2} & -x_{3} & -x_{5}
\end{array}
$$

Now $x_{2}$ enters and who leaves? $x_{6}$ leaves the basis. After pivoting

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{2}=1 & +0.75 x_{1} & +0.75 x_{3} & +0.25 x_{5} & -0.25 x_{6} \\
x_{0}=2 & -0.25 x_{1} & -1.25 x_{3} & +0.25 x_{5} & +0.75 x_{6} \\
x_{4}=7 & -1.5 x_{1} & -2.5 x_{3} & +0.5 x_{5} & +0.5 x_{6} \\
w=-2 & +0.25 x_{1} & +1.25 x_{3} & -0.25 x_{5} & -0.75 x_{6} .
\end{array}
$$

Who enters the basis now? $x_{3}$
Who leaves the basis?

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{4}=9 & +0 & -2 x_{2} & -x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
x_{0}=5 & 2 x_{1} & -3 x_{2} & +x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
x_{6}=4 & +3 x_{1} & -4 x_{2} & +3 x_{3} & +x_{5} \\
w=-5 & -2 x_{1} & +3 x_{2} & -x_{3} & -x_{5}
\end{array}
$$

Now $x_{2}$ enters and who leaves? $x_{6}$ leaves the basis. After pivoting

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{2}=1 & +0.75 x_{1} & +0.75 x_{3} & +0.25 x_{5} & -0.25 x_{6} \\
x_{0}=2 & -0.25 x_{1} & -1.25 x_{3} & +0.25 x_{5} & +0.75 x_{6} \\
x_{4}=7 & -1.5 x_{1} & -2.5 x_{3} & +0.5 x_{5} & +0.5 x_{6} \\
w=-2 & +0.25 x_{1} & +1.25 x_{3} & -0.25 x_{5} & -0.75 x_{6} .
\end{array}
$$

Who enters the basis now? $x_{3}$
Who leaves the basis?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0} \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2-1.25 x_{3} \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{3} \geq 2 / 1.25=1.6 \\
& x_{4} \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 7-2.5 x_{3} \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{3} \geq 7 / 2.5=2.8
\end{aligned}
$$

$x_{0}$ leaves the basis!

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{2}=1 & +0.75 x_{1} & +0.75 x_{3} & +0.25 x_{5} & -0.25 x_{6} \\
x_{0}=2 & -0.25 x_{1} & -1.25 x_{3} & +0.25 x_{5} & +0.75 x_{6} \\
x_{4}=7 & -1.5 x_{1} & -2.5 x_{3} & +0.5 x_{5} & +0.5 x_{6} \\
\hline w=-2 & +0.25 x_{1} & +1.25 x_{3} & -0.25 x_{5} & -0.75 x_{6} .
\end{array}
$$

Pivoting on row 2 and column 3:
$r_{1} \leftarrow r_{1}+\frac{0.75}{1.25} r_{2}=r_{1}+0.6 r_{2}$.
$r_{3} \leftarrow r_{3}-2 r_{2}$.
$w \leftarrow w+r_{2}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{2}=2.2 & +0.6 x_{1} & +0.4 x_{5} & +0.2 x_{6} & -0.6 x_{0} \\
x_{3}=1.6 & -0.2 x_{1} & +0.2 x_{5} & +0.6 x_{6} & -0.8 x_{0} \\
x_{4}=3 & -x_{1} & & -x_{6} & +2 x_{0} \\
\hline w= & & & .
\end{array}
$$

Feasible basis without $x_{0}$ !

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{2}=1 & +0.75 x_{1} & +0.75 x_{3} & +0.25 x_{5} & -0.25 x_{6} \\
x_{0}=2 & -0.25 x_{1} & -1.25 x_{3} & +0.25 x_{5} & +0.75 x_{6} \\
x_{4}=7 & -1.5 x_{1} & -2.5 x_{3} & +0.5 x_{5} & +0.5 x_{6} \\
\hline w=-2 & +0.25 x_{1} & +1.25 x_{3} & -0.25 x_{5} & -0.75 x_{6} .
\end{array}
$$

Pivoting on row 2 and column 3:
$r_{1} \leftarrow r_{1}+\frac{0.75}{1.25} r_{2}=r_{1}+0.6 r_{2}$.
$r_{3} \leftarrow r_{3}-2 r_{2}$.
$w \leftarrow w+r_{2}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{2}=2.2 & +0.6 x_{1} & +0.4 x_{5} & +0.2 x_{6} & -0.6 x_{0} \\
x_{3}=1.6 & -0.2 x_{1} & +0.2 x_{5} & +0.6 x_{6} & -0.8 x_{0} \\
x_{4}=3 & -x_{1} & & -x_{6} & +2 x_{0} \\
\hline w= & & & .
\end{array}
$$

Feasible basis without $x_{0}$ ! Remove column with $x_{0}$ and replace $w$ with $z$.

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{2}=2.2 & +0.6 x_{1} & +0.4 x_{5} & +0.2 x_{6} \\
x_{3}=1.6 & -0.2 x_{1} & +0.2 x_{5} & +0.6 x_{6} \\
x_{4}=3 & -x_{1} & & -x_{6} \\
\hline z= & +x_{1} & -x_{2} & x_{3}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{2}=2.2 & +0.6 x_{1} & +0.4 x_{5} & +0.2 x_{6} \\
x_{3}=1.6 & -0.2 x_{1} & +0.2 x_{5} & +0.6 x_{6} \\
x_{4}=3 & -x_{1} & & -x_{6}
\end{array}
$$

Eliminate base variables $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ from $z$ :

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{2}=2.2 & +0.6 x_{1} & +0.4 x_{5} & +0.2 x_{6} \\
x_{3}=1.6 & -0.2 x_{1} & +0.2 x_{5} & +0.6 x_{6} \\
x_{4}=3 & -x_{1} & & -x_{6}
\end{array}
$$

Eliminate base variables $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ from $z$ :

$$
z=x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{2}=2.2 & +0.6 x_{1} & +0.4 x_{5} & +0.2 x_{6} \\
x_{3}=1.6 & -0.2 x_{1} & +0.2 x_{5} & +0.6 x_{6} \\
x_{4}=3 & -x_{1} & & -x_{6}
\end{array}
$$

Eliminate base variables $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ from $z$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
z & =x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3} \\
& =x_{1}-\left(2.2+0.6 x_{1}+0.4 x_{5}+0.2 x_{6}\right)+\left(1.6-0.2 x_{1}+0.2 x_{5}+0.6 x_{6}\right) \\
& =-0.6+0.2 x_{1}-0.2 x_{5}+0.4 x_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{2}=2.2 & +0.6 x_{1} & +0.4 x_{5} & +0.2 x_{6} \\
x_{3}=1.6 & -0.2 x_{1} & +0.2 x_{5} & +0.6 x_{6} \\
x_{4}=3 & -x_{1} & & -x_{6}
\end{array}
$$

Eliminate base variables $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ from $z$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
z & =x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3} \\
& =x_{1}-\left(2.2+0.6 x_{1}+0.4 x_{5}+0.2 x_{6}\right)+\left(1.6-0.2 x_{1}+0.2 x_{5}+0.6 x_{6}\right) \\
& =-0.6+0.2 x_{1}-0.2 x_{5}+0.4 x_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

So the initial basis is

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{2}=2.2 & +0.6 x_{1} & +0.4 x_{5} & +0.2 x_{6} \\
x_{3}=1.6 & -0.2 x_{1} & +0.2 x_{5} & +0.6 x_{6} \\
x_{4}=3 & -x_{1} & & -x_{6} \\
\hline z=-0.6 & +0.2 x_{1} & -0.2 x_{3} & +0.4 x_{6}
\end{array}
$$

Now apply the simplex again!

## Upper Bounds Using Duality

The LP in standard form

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{x} z \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} c^{\top} x \\
\text { subject to } A x \leq b, \\
x \geq 0 \tag{LP}
\end{align*}
$$

We want to find $w \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $z=c^{\top} x \leq w$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Combine rows of constraints?

## Upper Bounds Using Duality

The LP in standard form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\max _{x} z \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} c^{\top} x \\
\text { subject to } A x \leq b \\
x \geq 0 \tag{LP}
\end{array}
$$

We want to find $w \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $z=c^{\top} x \leq w$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Combine rows of constraints?
Look for $y \geq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ so that $y^{\top} A \geq c^{\top}$ so that

$$
c^{\top} x \leq\left(y^{\top} A\right) x \leq y^{\top} b=: w
$$

Can we make this upper bound as tight as possible? Yes, by minimizing $y^{\top} b$. That is, we need to the dual linear program.

Dual definition

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\max _{x} z \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} c^{\top} x \\
\text { subject to } A x \leq b, \\
x \geq 0, & (P) \text { Primal } \\
\min _{y} w \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} y^{\top} b \\
\text { subject to } A^{\top} y \geq c, \\
y \geq 0 & (D) \text { Dual } \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

## Dual definition

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\max _{x} z \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} c^{\top} x \\
\text { subject to } A x \leq b \\
x \geq 0, & (P) \text { Primal } \\
\min _{y} w \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} y^{\top} b \\
\text { subject to } A^{\top} y \geq c, \\
y \geq 0 & (D) \text { Dual } \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

Exe: Show that the dual of the dual is the primal.

## Dual definition

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\max _{x} z \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} c^{\top} x \\
\text { subject to } A x \leq b \\
x \geq 0, & (P) \text { Primal } \\
\min _{y} w \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} y^{\top} b \\
\text { subject to } A^{\top} y \geq c, \\
y \geq 0 & (D) \text { Dual }
\end{array}
$$

Exe: Show that the dual of the dual is the primal.
Lemma (Weak Duality)
If $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a feasible point for (1) and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a feasible point for (2) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\top} x \leq y^{\top} A x \leq y^{\top} b . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Weak Duality

## Lemma (Weak Duality)

If $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a feasible point for (1) and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a feasible point for (2) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\top} x \leq y^{\top} A x \leq y^{\top} b . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently

- If (1) has an unbounded solution, that is $c^{\top} x \rightarrow \infty$, then


## Weak Duality

## Lemma (Weak Duality)

If $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a feasible point for (1) and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a feasible point for (2) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\top} x \leq y^{\top} A x \leq y^{\top} b . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently

- If (1) has an unbounded solution, that is $c^{\top} x \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists no feasible point y for (2)
- If (2) has an unbounded solution, that is $y^{\top} b \rightarrow-\infty$, then


## Weak Duality

## Lemma (Weak Duality)

If $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a feasible point for (1) and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a feasible point for (2) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\top} x \leq y^{\top} A x \leq y^{\top} b . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently

- If (1) has an unbounded solution, that is $c^{\top} x \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists no feasible point $y$ for (2)
- If (2) has an unbounded solution, that is $y^{\top} b \rightarrow-\infty$, then there exists no feasible point $x$ for (1)
- If $x$ and $y$ are primal and dual feasible, respectively, and $c^{\top} x=y^{\top} b$, then


## Weak Duality

## Lemma (Weak Duality)

If $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a feasible point for (1) and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a feasible point for (2) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\top} x \leq y^{\top} A x \leq y^{\top} b . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently

- If (1) has an unbounded solution, that is $c^{\top} x \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists no feasible point y for (2)
- If (2) has an unbounded solution, that is $y^{\top} b \rightarrow-\infty$, then there exists no feasible point $x$ for (1)
- If $x$ and $y$ are primal and dual feasible, respectively, and $c^{\top} x=y^{\top} b$, then $x$ and $y$ are the primal and dual optimal points, respectively.


## Strong Duality

## Theorem (Strong Duality)

If (1) or (2) is feasible, then $z^{*}=w^{*}$. Moreover, if $c^{*}$ is the cost vector of the optimal dictionary of the primal problem (1), that is, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=z^{*}+\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} c_{i}^{*} x_{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $y_{i}^{*}=-c_{n+i}^{*}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$.
Thus distance to optimal is given by

$$
z-w=y^{\top} b-c^{\top} x \geq 0 .
$$

## Strong Duality

## Theorem (Strong Duality)

If (1) or (2) is feasible, then $z^{*}=w^{*}$. Moreover, if $c^{*}$ is the cost vector of the optimal dictionary of the primal problem (1), that is, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=z^{*}+\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} c_{i}^{*} x_{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $y_{i}^{*}=-c_{n+i}^{*}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$.
Thus distance to optimal is given by

$$
z-w=y^{\top} b-c^{\top} x \geq 0
$$

Proof: First $c_{i}^{*} \leq 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, m+n$ because dictionary is optimal.
Consequently $y_{i}^{*}=-c_{n+i}^{*} \geq 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$.

## Strong duality: Proof I

By the definition of the slack variables we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+i}=b_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}, \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, m \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, setting $y_{i}^{*}=-c_{n+i}^{*}$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& z \stackrel{(5)}{=} \\
& \quad z^{*}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j}^{*} x_{j}+\sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} c_{i}^{*} x_{i} \\
& \stackrel{(6)}{=} \\
&= z^{*}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j}^{*} x_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{*}\left(b_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}\right)  \tag{7}\\
& z^{*}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{*} b_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(c_{j}^{*}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{*} a_{i j}\right) x_{j} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j}, \quad \forall x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

Last line followed by definition $z=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j}$. Since the above holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we can match the coefficients.

## Strong duality: Proof II

$$
z^{*}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{*} b_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(c_{j}^{*}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{*} a_{i j}\right) x_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j} .
$$

Matching coefficients on $x_{j}$ 's we have

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{*} & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{*} b_{i}  \tag{8}\\
c_{j} & =c_{j}^{*}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{*} a_{i j}, \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, n \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $c_{j}^{*} \leq 0$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$, the above is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{*} & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{*} b_{i}  \tag{10}\\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{*} a_{i j} & \leq c_{j}, \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, n \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

(11) $\Rightarrow y_{i}^{*}$ is feasible for (2). (10) $\Rightarrow z^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{*} b_{i}=w$, consequently by weak duality the $y_{i}^{*}$ 's are dual optimal.
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By strong duality

$$
c^{\top} x^{*}=\left(y^{*}\right)^{\top} A x^{*}=\left(y^{*}\right)^{\top} b
$$
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Subtracting $\left(y^{*}\right)^{\top} A x^{*}$ from all sides of the above gives
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(\underbrace{c-A^{\top} y^{*}}_{\geq 0})^{\top} x^{*}=0=\left(y^{*}\right)^{\top}(\underbrace{b-A x^{*}}_{\geq 0})
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Re-writing the above in element form we have that
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\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(c_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i j} y_{i}^{*}\right) x_{j}^{*}=0=\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{*}\left(b_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}^{*}\right)
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## How to calculate dual solution $y$ ?

By strong duality

$$
c^{\top} x^{*}=\left(y^{*}\right)^{\top} A x^{*}=\left(y^{*}\right)^{\top} b .
$$

Subtracting $\left(y^{*}\right)^{\top} A x^{*}$ from all sides of the above gives

$$
(\underbrace{c-A^{\top} y^{*}}_{\geq 0})^{\top} x^{*}=0=\left(y^{*}\right)^{\top}(\underbrace{b-A x^{*}}_{\geq 0}) .
$$

Re-writing the above in element form we have that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(c_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i j} y_{i}^{*}\right) x_{j}^{*}=0=\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{*}\left(b_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}^{*}\right)
$$

Sum over positive numbers equal zero thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{i}^{*}\left(b_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}^{*}\right)=0, \quad \forall i=1, \ldots, m . \\
& x_{j}^{*}\left(c_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i j} y_{i}^{*}\right)=0, \quad \forall j=1, \ldots, n .
\end{align*}
$$

## How to calculate dual solution $y$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{i}^{*}\left(b_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}^{*}\right)=0, \quad \forall i=1, \ldots, m \\
& x_{j}^{*}\left(c_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i j} y_{i}^{*}\right)=0, \quad \forall j=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives the following rule for computing $y^{*}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j} y_{i}^{*} & =c_{j}, \quad \forall j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, \quad x_{j}^{*}>0 \\
y_{i}^{*} & =0, \quad \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}, \quad b_{i}>\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Question: If $x^{*}$ is non-degenerate, how many $x_{i}^{*}>0$ ?

Complementary slackness
Since $b_{i}>\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}^{*} \Rightarrow x_{n+i}^{*}>0$ we have

Complementary slackness
Since $b_{i}>\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}^{*} \Rightarrow x_{n+i}^{*}>0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j} y_{i}^{*} & =c_{j}, \quad \forall j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, \quad x_{j}^{*}>0 \\
y_{i}^{*} & =0, \quad \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}, \quad x_{n+i}^{*}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Complementary slackness
Since $b_{i}>\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}^{*} \Rightarrow x_{n+i}^{*}>0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j} y_{i}^{*} & =c_{j}, \quad \forall j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, \quad x_{j}^{*}>0 \\
y_{i}^{*} & =0, \quad \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}, \quad x_{n+i}^{*}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j} y_{i}^{*}=c_{j} \quad \Rightarrow A_{J}^{\top} y^{*}=c_{J} \quad(J \text { indices of Basic variables })
$$

Exercise on calculating dual variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max z= & 4 x_{2}+3 x_{2} \\
& 5 x_{1}+3 x_{2} \leq 30 \\
& 2 x_{1}+3 x_{2} \leq 24 \\
& x_{1}+3 x_{2}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { Then } y_{1}^{*}=\frac{3}{4}, y_{2}^{*}=0, y_{3}^{*}=\frac{1}{4}
$$
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Test for complementarity:
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\begin{aligned}
\max z= & 4 x_{2}+3 x_{2} \\
& 5 x_{1}+3 x_{2} \leq 30
\end{aligned} \quad \text { If } x_{1}^{*}=3, x_{2}^{*}=5
$$

Test for complementarity:
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\begin{gathered}
5 x_{1}^{*}+3 x_{2}^{*}=5 * 3+3 * 5=30 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_{1}^{*} \neq 0 \\
2 x_{1}^{*}+3 x_{2}^{*}=2 * 3+3 * 5=21<24 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_{2}^{*}=0 \\
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## Exercise on calculating dual variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max z= & 4 x_{2}+3 x_{2} \\
& 5 x_{1}+3 x_{2} \leq 30
\end{aligned} \quad \text { If } x_{1}^{*}=3, x_{2}^{*}=5
$$

Test for complementarity:

$$
\begin{gathered}
5 x_{1}^{*}+3 x_{2}^{*}=5 * 3+3 * 5=30 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_{1}^{*} \neq 0 \\
2 x_{1}^{*}+3 x_{2}^{*}=2 * 3+3 * 5=21<24 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_{2}^{*}=0 \\
x_{1}^{*}+3 x_{2}^{*}=3+3 * 5=18 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_{3}^{*} \neq 0 .
\end{gathered}
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Setup linear system $\sum_{i=1} a_{i j} y_{i}^{*}=c_{j}, \forall j$ with $x_{j}^{*}>0$ :

|  | 4 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{1}$ | $5 x_{1}$ | $+3 x_{2}$ |
| $y_{2}$ | $2 x_{1}$ | $+3 x_{2}$ |
| $y_{3}$ | $x_{1}$ | $+3 x_{2}$ |

## Exercise on calculating dual variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max z= & 4 x_{2}+3 x_{2} \\
& 5 x_{1}+3 x_{2} \leq 30
\end{aligned} \quad \text { If } x_{1}^{*}=3, x_{2}^{*}=5
$$

Test for complementarity:

$$
\begin{gathered}
5 x_{1}^{*}+3 x_{2}^{*}=5 * 3+3 * 5=30 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_{1}^{*} \neq 0 \\
2 x_{1}^{*}+3 x_{2}^{*}=2 * 3+3 * 5=21<24 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_{2}^{*}=0 \\
x_{1}^{*}+3 x_{2}^{*}=3+3 * 5=18 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_{3}^{*} \neq 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Setup linear system $\sum_{i=1} a_{i j} y_{i}^{*}=c_{j}, \forall j$ with $x_{j}^{*}>0$ :

|  | 4 | 3 |  |  | 4 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{1}$ | $5 x_{1}$ | $+3 x_{2}$ | $\left(\right.$ remove $\left.y_{2}\right)$ |  | $y_{1}$ | $5 x_{1}$ |
| $y_{2}$ | $2 x_{1}$ | $+3 x_{2}$ | $\Rightarrow$ | $x_{2}$ |  |  |
| $y_{3}$ | $x_{1}$ | $+3 x_{2}$ |  | $y_{3}$ | $x_{1}$ | $+3 x_{2}$ |

## Exercise on calculating dual variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max z= & 4 x_{2}+3 x_{2} \\
5 x_{1}+3 x_{2} \leq 30 & \text { If } x_{1}^{*}=3, x_{2}^{*}=5 \\
& 2 x_{1}+3 x_{2} \leq 24 \\
& x_{1}+3 x_{2} \leq 18
\end{aligned} \quad \text { Then } y_{1}^{*}=\frac{3}{4}, y_{2}^{*}=0, y_{3}^{*}=\frac{1}{4}
$$

Test for complementarity:

$$
\begin{gathered}
5 x_{1}^{*}+3 x_{2}^{*}=5 * 3+3 * 5=30 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_{1}^{*} \neq 0 \\
2 x_{1}^{*}+3 x_{2}^{*}=2 * 3+3 * 5=21<24 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_{2}^{*}=0 \\
x_{1}^{*}+3 x_{2}^{*}=3+3 * 5=18 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_{3}^{*} \neq 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Setup linear system $\sum_{i=1} a_{i j} y_{i}^{*}=c_{j}, \forall j$ with $x_{j}^{*}>0$ :
$\left.\begin{array}{l|ccc|ccc} & 4 & 3 & & & 4 & 3 \\ y_{1} & 5 x_{1} & +3 x_{2} & \left(\text { remove } y_{2}\right) & y_{1} & 5 x_{1} & +3 x_{2} \\ y_{2} & 2 x_{1} & +3 x_{2} & \Rightarrow & \text { (transpose) }) \\ y_{3} & x_{1} & +3 x_{2} & & y_{3} & x_{1} & +3 x_{2}\end{array} \underset{l l}{5} \begin{array}{l}1 \\ 3\end{array} 3\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}y_{1} \\ y_{3}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}4 \\ 3\end{array}\right]$
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