(BONUS) Exercise List: Proving convergence of the Stochastic Gradient Descent for smooth and convex functions.

Robert M. Gower

October 5, 2019

1 Introduction

Consider the problem

$$w^* \in \arg\min_w \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(w) \overset{\text{def}}{=} f(w) \right), \tag{1}$$

where we assume that $f(w)$ is μ–strongly quasi-convex

$$f(w^*) \geq f(w) + \langle w^* - w, \nabla f(w) \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2}\|w - w^*\|^2, \tag{2}$$

and each f_i is convex and L_i–smooth

$$f_i(w + h) \leq f_i(w) + \langle \nabla f_i(w), h \rangle + \frac{L_i}{2}\|h\|^2, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{3}$$

Here we will provide a modern proof of the convergence of the SGD algorithm

$$w^{t+1} = w^t - \gamma^t \nabla f_{i_t}(w^t), \quad \text{where } i_t \sim \frac{1}{n}. \tag{4}$$

The result we will prove is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Assume f is μ-quasi-strongly convex and the f_i's are convex and L_i–smooth. Let $L_{\max} = \max_{i=1,\ldots,n} L_i$ and let

$$\sigma^2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n}\|\nabla f_i(w^*)\|^2. \tag{5}$$

Choose $\gamma^t = \gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2L_{\max}}]$ for all t. Then the iterates of SGD given by (4) satisfy:

$$\mathbb{E}\|w^t - w^*\|^2 \leq (1 - \gamma\mu)^t \|w^0 - w^*\|^2 + \frac{2\gamma\sigma^2}{\mu}. \tag{6}$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will now give a modern proof of the convergance of SGD.

Ex. 1 — Let $\mathbb{E}_t[\cdot] \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[\cdot \,|\, w^t]$ and consider the tth iteration of the SGD method (4). Show that

$$\mathbb{E}_t\left[\nabla f_{i_t}(w^t)\right] = \nabla f(w^t).$$

Ex. 2 — Let $\mathbb{E}_t[\cdot] \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[\cdot \,|\, w^t]$ be the expectation conditioned on w^t. Using a step of SGD (4) show that

$$\mathbb{E}_t\left[\|w^{t+1} - w^*\|^2\right] \;=\; \|w^t - w^*\|^2 - 2\gamma\left\langle w^t - w^*, \nabla f(w^t)\right\rangle + \gamma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n}\|\nabla f_i(w^t)\|^2. \qquad (7)$$

Ex. 3 — Now we need to bound the term $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n}\|\nabla f_i(w^t)\|^2$ to continue the proof. We break this into the following steps.

Part I

Using that each f_i is L_i–smooth and convex and using Lemma A.1 in the appendix show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2nL_i}\|\nabla f_i(w) - \nabla f_i(w^*)\|_2^2 \;\leq\; f(w) - f(w^*). \qquad (9)$$

Hint: Remember that $\nabla f(w^*) = 0$.
Now let $L_{\max} = \max_{i=1,\dots,n} L_i$ and conlude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n}\|\nabla f_i(w) - \nabla f_i(w^*)\|_2^2 \;\leq\; 2L_{\max}(f(w) - f(w^*)). \qquad (10)$$

Part II

Using (10) and Definition 5 show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n}\|\nabla f_i(w)\|^2 \;\leq\; 4L_{\max}(f(w) - f(w^*)) + 2\sigma^2. \qquad (11)$$

Ex. 4 — Using (11) together with (7) and the strong quasi-convexity (2) of $f(w)$ show that

$$\mathbb{E}_t\left[\|w^{t+1} - w^*\|^2\right] \;\leq\; (1 - \mu\gamma)\|w^t - w^*\|^2 + 2\gamma(2\gamma L_{\max} - 1)(f(w^t) - f(w^*)) + 2\sigma^2\gamma^2. \quad (15)$$

Ex. 5 — Using that $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2L_{\max}}]$ conclude the proof by taking expectation again, and unrolling the recurrence.

Ex. 6 — BONUS importance sampling: Let $i_t \sim p_i$ in the SGD update (4), where $p_i > 0$ are probabilities with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. What should the p_i's be so that SGD has the fastest convergence?

3 Decreasing step-sizes

Based on Theorem 1.1 we can introduce a decreasing stepsize.

Theorem 3.1 (Decreasing stepsizes)**.** Let f be μ–strongly quasi-convex and each f_i be L_i–smooth and convex. Let $\mathcal{K} \overset{\text{def}}{=} L_{\max}/\mu$ and

$$\gamma^t = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2L_{\max}} & \text{for} \quad t \le 4\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil \\[2mm] \frac{2t+1}{(t+1)^2 \mu} & \text{for} \quad t > 4\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil. \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

If $t \ge 4\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil$, then SGD iterates given by (4) satisfy:

$$\mathbb{E}\|w^t - w^*\|^2 \le \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^2} \frac{8}{t} + \frac{16}{e^2} \frac{\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil^2}{t^2} \|w^0 - w^*\|^2. \tag{19}$$

Proof. Let $\gamma_t \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{2t+1}{(t+1)^2 \mu}$ and let t^* be an integer that satisfies $\gamma_{t^*} \le \frac{1}{2L_{\max}}$. In particular this holds for

$$t^* \ge \lceil 4\mathcal{K} - 1 \rceil.$$

Note that γ_t is decreasing in t and consequently $\gamma_t \le \frac{1}{2L_{\max}}$ for all $t \ge t^*$. This in turn guarantees that (6) holds for all $t \ge t^*$ with γ_t in place of γ, that is

$$\mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^2 \le \frac{t^2}{(t+1)^2} \mathbb{E}\|r^t\|^2 + \frac{2\sigma^2}{\mu^2} \frac{(2t+1)^2}{(t+1)^4}. \tag{20}$$

Multiplying both sides by $(t+1)^2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (t+1)^2 \mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^2 &\le t^2 \mathbb{E}\|r^t\|^2 + \frac{2\sigma^2}{\mu^2} \left(\frac{2t+1}{t+1} \right)^2 \\ &\le t^2 \mathbb{E}\|r^t\|^2 + \frac{8\sigma^2}{\mu^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality holds because $\frac{2t+1}{t+1} < 2$. Rearranging and summing from $j = t^* \ldots t$ we obtain:

$$\sum_{j=t^*}^{t} \left[(j+1)^2 \mathbb{E}\|r^{j+1}\|^2 - j^2 \mathbb{E}\|r^j\|^2 \right] \le \sum_{j=t^*}^{t} \frac{8\sigma^2}{\mu^2}. \tag{21}$$

Using telescopic cancellation gives

$$(t+1)^2 \mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^2 \le (t^*)^2 \mathbb{E}\|r^{t^*}\|^2 + \frac{8\sigma^2(t - t^*)}{\mu^2}.$$

Dividing the above by $(t+1)^2$ gives

$$\mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^2 \le \frac{(t^*)^2}{(t+1)^2} \mathbb{E}\|r^{t^*}\|^2 + \frac{8\sigma^2(t - t^*)}{\mu^2(t+1)^2}. \tag{22}$$

For $t \le t^*$ we have that (6) holds, which combined with (22), gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^2 \le\ & \frac{(t^*)^2}{(t+1)^2} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2L_{\max}} \right)^{t^*} \|r^0\|^2 \\ & + \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^2(t+1)^2} \left(8(t - t^*) + \frac{(t^*)^2}{\mathcal{K}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

3

Choosing t^* that minimizes the second line of the above gives $t^* = 4\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil$, which when inserted into (23) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\|r^{t+1}\|^2 &\leq \frac{16\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil^2}{(t+1)^2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\mathcal{K}}\right)^{4\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil}\|r^0\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^2}\frac{8(t - 2\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil)}{(t+1)^2} \\
&\leq \frac{16\lceil \mathcal{K} \rceil^2}{e^2(t+1)^2}\|r^0\|^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^2}\frac{8}{t+1},
\end{aligned}
\tag{24}
$$

where we have used that $\left(1 - \frac{1}{2x}\right)^{4x} \leq e^{-2}$ for all $x \geq 1$. $\qquad\square$

A   Appendix: Auxiliary smooth and convex lemma

As a consequence of the f_i's being smooth and convex we have that f is also smooth and convex. In particular f is convex since it is a convex combination of the f_i's. This gives us the following useful lemma.

Lemma A.1. If f is both L–smooth

$$
f(z) \leq f(w) + \langle \nabla f(w), z - w\rangle + \frac{L}{2}\|z - w\|_2^2
\tag{25}
$$

and convex

$$
f(z) \geq f(y) + \langle \nabla f(y), z - y\rangle,
\tag{26}
$$

then we have that

$$
f(y) - f(w) \leq \langle \nabla f(y), y - w\rangle - \frac{1}{2L}\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(w)\|_2^2.
\tag{27}
$$

Proof. To prove (27), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(y) - f(w) &= f(y) - f(z) + f(z) - f(w) \\
&\overset{(26)+(25)}{\leq} \langle \nabla f(y), y - z\rangle + \langle \nabla f(w), z - w\rangle + \frac{L}{2}\|z - w\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

To get the tightest upper bound on the right hand side, we can minimize the right hand side in z, which gives

$$
z = w - \frac{1}{L}(\nabla f(w) - \nabla f(y)).
\tag{28}
$$

Substituting this in gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(y) - f(w) &= \left\langle \nabla f(y), y - w + \frac{1}{L}(\nabla f(w) - \nabla f(y))\right\rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{L}\langle \nabla f(w), \nabla f(w) - \nabla f(y)\rangle + \frac{1}{2L}\|\nabla f(w) - \nabla f(y)\|_2^2 \\
&= \langle \nabla f(y), y - w\rangle - \frac{1}{L}\|\nabla f(w) - \nabla f(y)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2L}\|\nabla f(w) - \nabla f(y)\|_2^2 \\
&= \langle \nabla f(y), y - w\rangle - \frac{1}{2L}\|\nabla f(w) - \nabla f(y)\|_2^2. \quad\square
\end{aligned}
$$